Atmospheric Neutrino Oscillation Measurements with IceCube

Carsten Rott^{*} (for the IceCube Collaboration[†])

*Center for Cosmology and AstroParticle Physics, Ohio State University, Columbus, OH 43210, USA [†]See special section of these proceedings

67

Abstract. IceCube's lowest energy threshold for the detection of track like events (muon neutrinos) iso 2 realized in vertical events, due to IceCube's geome₅₁ 3 try. For this specific class of events, IceCube may₂ 4 be able to observe muon neutrinos with energies 5 below 100 GeV at a statistically significant rate₃₄ For these vertically up-going atmospheric neutrinos₅₅ 7 which travel a baseline length of the diameter of 8 the Earth, oscillation effects are expected to become significant. We discuss the prospects of observing 10 atmospheric neutrino oscillations and sensitivity to 11 oscillation parameters based on a muon neutring 12 disappearance measurement performed on IceCube₁ 13 data with vertically up-going track-like events. We2 14 further discuss future prospects of this measurement, 15 and the impact of an IceCube string trigger con₅₄ 16 figuration that has been active since 2008 and was 17 specifically designed for the detection of these events_{be} 18 Keywords: Neutrino Oscillations IceCube 19

I. INTRODUCTION

20

The IceCube Neutrino Telescope is currently under 21 construction at the South Pole and is about three quarters 22 completed [1]. Upon completion in 2011, it will instruzo 23 ment a volume of approximately one cubic kilometer 24 utilizing 86 strings, each of which will contain 60 Digitate 25 Optical Modules (DOMs). In total, 80 of these strings 26 will be arranged in a hexagonal pattern with an inter74 27 string spacing of about 125 m, and 17 m vertical separs 28 ration between DOMs at a depth between 1450 m and 29 2450 m. Complementing this 80 string baseline design/ 30 will be a deep and dense sub-array named DeepCore [2]78 31 For this sub-array, six additional strings will be deployed 32 in the center, in between the regular strings, resultingo 33 in an interstring-spacing of 72 m. DeepCore will be1 34 densely instrumented in the deep ice below 2100 m, with 35 a vertical sensor spacing of 7 m. This array is specifically 36 designed for the detection and reconstruction of sub-TeV 37 neutrinos. Further, the deep ice provides better optical 38 properties and the usage of high quantum efficiency 39 photomultiplier tubes will enable us to study neutrinos 40 in the energy range of a few tens of GeV. This makes 41 DeepCore an extremely ideal detector for the study of 42 atmospheric neutrino oscillations [2]. 43

In this paper we present an atmospheric neutrino oscillation analysis in progress on data collected with the IceCube 22-string detector during 2007 and 2008. This is an update on a previous report [4], with a larger, more complete background simulation and hence re-optimized selection criteria. An alternative background estimation using the data itself is also discussed.

The goal of this analysis is to measure muon neutrino (ν_{μ}) disappearance as a function of energy for a constant baseline length of the diameter of the Earth by studying vertically up-going ν_{μ} . Disappearance effects are expected to become sizable at neutrino energies below 100 GeV in these vertical events. This energy range is normally hard to access with IceCube. However, due to IceCube's vertical geometry, low noise rate, and low trigger threshold the observation of neutrino oscillations through ν_{μ} disappearance seems feasible. Atmospheric neutrino oscillations have, as of today, not been observed with AMANDA or IceCube.

Based on preliminary selection criteria, we show that IceCube has the potential to detect low-energy vertical up-going ν_{μ} events and we estimate the sensitivity to oscillation parameters.

II. ATMOSPHERIC NEUTRINO OSCILLATIONS

Collisions of primary cosmic rays with nuclei in the upper atmosphere produce a steady stream of muon neutrinos from decays of secondaries (π^{\pm}, K^{\pm}) . These atmospheric neutrinos follow a steeply falling energy spectrum of index $\gamma \simeq 3.7$.

In IceCube these muon neutrinos can be identified through the observation of Cherenkov light from muons produced in charged-current interactions of the neutrinos with the Antarctic ice or the bedrock below. The main difficulty in identifying these events stems from a large down-going high energy atmospheric muon flux, that could produce detector signatures consistent with those produced by up-going muons. These events are the background to this analysis.



Fig. 1. Muon neutrino survival probability under the assumption of effective 2-flavor neutrino oscillations $\nu_{\mu} \leftrightarrow \nu_{\tau}$ as function of energy for vertically traversing neutrinos.

91

Vertically up-going atmospheric neutrinos travel 189 82 distance of Earth diameter, which corresponds to 1a0 83 baseline length L of 12, 715 km. The survival probability 84 for these muon neutrinos can be approximated using2 85 the two-flavor neutrino oscillation case and is shown ina 86 Figure 1 for maximal mixing and a Δm^2 consistent with 87 Super-Kamiokande [6] and MINOS [7] measurements. Its illustrates the disappearance effect (large below energies6 89 of 100 GeV) we intend to observe. 90 147

III. OSCILLATION ANALYSIS

148

149

To probe oscillation effects, our selection criteria need 92 to be optimized towards the selection of low-energy₁ 93 vertical muon events. The selection should also retained 94 some events at higher energies (with no oscillations) 95 effects), that could be used to verify the overall normal54 96 ization. Low energy vertical up-going muons in IceCube5 97 predominantly result in egistered signals ("hits") on 186 single string. The muon propagates very closely to one 99 string, such that the Cherenkov light can be sampled 100 well from even low-energy events. The probability of 101 observing hits on a second string is very small due tion 102 the large interstring distance of 125 m, and is further 103 suppressed through a local trigger condition known as 104 HLC (Hard Local Coincidence). The HLC conditiona 105 requires that a DOM only registers a hit if a (nearest4 106 or next-to-nearest) neighbor also registers a hit withins 107 1 μ s. IceCube was operational in this mode for the 22₆ 108 and 40-string configuration. 109 167

Given the nature of the signal events, the oscillations 110 analysis can be performed very similarly on the differents 111 IceCube string configurations. To verify our understand₇₀ 112 ing of the detector, we perform this analysis in stepsz1 113 First, we use a subset of the 22-string configuration to 114 develop and optimize the selection criteria, then cross 115 check them on the full 22-string dataset and performa 116 the analysis on the IceCube datasets acquired followings 117 the 22-string configuration. 176 118

The IceCube 22-string configuration operated between 119 May 31, 2007 and April 5, 2008. In this initial study₃₈ 120 we analyze only a small subset of the data acquired overs 121 this period with a total livetime of 12.85 days, using raneo 122 domly distributed data segments of up to 8 hour length 123 collected during the period of 22-string operations. The2 124 dataset was triggered with the multiplicity eight DOM₃ 125 trigger and then preselected by a specific analysis filter4 126 running at the South Pole, selecting short track-likes 127 single string events. The filter requires after removal of 128 potential noise hits, that all hits occur on a single string 129 and that the time difference between the earliest and 130 latest hit be less than 1000 ns. To partially veto downess 131 going muon background it requires no hits in the topo 132 133 3 DOMs. Further, the hit time difference between at least two adjacent DOMs must be consistent with the speed 134 of light within 25% tolerance, and the first DOM hit ins 135 time needs to be near the bottom or top within the series4 136 of DOMs hit on the single string. All filter selections 137 criteria are designed to be directionally independented 138

so that vertical up-going events are collected as well as vertical down-going. The described analysis only uses the up-going sample collected by this filter. The down-going sample could be used in the future for flux normalization purposes, if we succeed in extracting a pure atmospheric neutrino sample against the large down-going atmospheric muon flux [3].

To isolate our signal sample of vertical up-going ν_{μ} events we apply a series of consecutive selection criteria. We require that the majority of time differences between adjacent DOMs are consistent with unscattered Cherenkov radiation (direct light) off a vertically upgoing muon (L4). In addition, a maximum likelihood fit is applied requiring the muon to be reconstructed as up-going (L5). After these selection criteria, the dataset is still dominated by down-going muon background mimicking up-going events. This background is estimated using two CORSIKA [8] samples: one with an energy spectrum according to the Hörandel polygonato model [5] and a second over-sampling at the high energy range. Simulations agree well with data in shape, but the normalization is found to be slightly high. Based on background and signal simulations (atmospheric ν_{μ} were generated with ANIS [9]) we define a set of tight selection criteria (that do not correlate strongly) and show good signal and background separation. These selection criteria are as follows: Event time length greater than 400 ns (L6), mean charge per optical sensor larger than 1.5 photo-electrons (pe), total charge collected during the first 500 ns larger than 12 pe (L7), and an inner string condition (the trigger string completely surrounded by neighboring strings) (L8). The tight selection criteria were independently optimized at this level in order to have high statistics and smoother distributions which would not be available at higher selection criteria levels. Thereafter, we reject all events in the available background CORSIKA sample corresponding to an equivalent detector livetime of at least two days. Part of the parameter space was oversampled by the weighted COR-SIKA sample an equates to several weeks of equivalent livetime. Using a conservative approach with two days of livetime equivalent we can set a 90%C.L. upper limit on the possible background contamination in the data sample of 14.8 events, in 12.85 days of livetime. In this sample we further expect 2.13 ± 0.07 (1.68 ± 0.06) signal events (with oscillation effects taken into account) from atmospheric neutrinos. See Table I for event counts as function of the selection criteria. Figure 2 shows the track length distribution after final selection criteria. The track length serves as an energy estimator working well at the energy range of interest since a muon travels roughly 5 m/GeV. As expected, short tracks show larger disappearance effects. Figure 3 shows the fraction of events selected by this analysis that are below a certain muon energy for different track lengths.

The optimization and cross-check on the small subset of available data have been performed in a blind manner. One event was observed after final selection



Fig. 2. Expected track length of the signal, with and without oscillations taken into account, and compared to data after final selection criteria.



Fig. 3. Fraction of events in a given muon neutrino energy range \Re^3 function of their track length defined by the number of DOMs hit \Re^2 final selection.

224

225

229

230

which is consistent with the prediction. This initial 197 result indicates that we understand and model the low-198 energy atmospheric neutrino region reasonably well. The 199 analysis on the full dataset is in progress, including 200 a larger background MC sample and a more detailed 201 study of systematic uncertainties. Figure 4 shows the 202 effective area for vertical up-going neutrinos in the 22-203 string detector at filter level and final selection. 204



Fig. 4. Average muon neutrino effective area for vertical up-going, neutrinos (within 15 degree's of vertical direction) as function of neutrino energy.

205

IV. BACKGROUND ESTIMATION

The background has been estimated using CORSIKA1 simulations. However, due to limited MC statistics there remains a large uncertainty at final selection. 233 To cross-check the background estimation using sinas4

ulations and to provide a second independent way tas

Cut	Corsika	Sig. (with osc)	Effect	Data
L3	$439 \pm 2 \cdot 10^4$	$20.3(17.3) \pm 0.4$	15%	$331 \cdot 10^{4}$
L4	$54 \pm 2 \cdot 10^3$	$20.0(17.0) \pm 0.3$	15%	$32 \cdot 10^{3}$
L5	464 ± 175	$11.8(9.7) \pm 0.2$	18%	321
L6	351 ± 171	$10.7(8.8) \pm 0.2$	17%	207
L7	151 ± 41	$9.6(7.9) \pm 0.2$	17%	145
L8	0	$2.1(1.7) \pm 0.08$	21%	1

TABLE I

SUMMARY OF NUMBER OF EVENTS IN DATA AND AS PREDICTED BY SIMULATIONS AS FUNCTION OF THE SELECTION CRITERIA "CUT" LEVEL: L.3 - INITIAL PROCESSING (TRIGGER FILTER) 1.4/L.5 -

RECONSTRUCTED TRACK IS VERTICAL UP-GOING, L6/L7 - CHARGE BASED SELECTION CRITERIA, L8 - INNER STRINGS ONLY. SEE TEXT FOR DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE SELECTION CRITERIA.

EFFECT REFERS TO THE SIZE OF THE DISAPPEARANCE EFFECT.

obtain a background estimate, we use the data itself to determine the remaining background.

The nature of the signal events (low energy vertical tracks on a single string) allows us to estimate the background based on the completeness of the veto region defined by the surrounding strings, using geometrical phase-space arguments.

The total number of events observed is the sum of the passing signal events and background faking a signal. The two categories display very different behavior with respect to tightening the selection criteria. Signal events produce predominately real vertical tracks, so that the rate on strings regardless of their position is very similar (see Figure 5).



Fig. 5. Number of events for 12.85 days of data at different cut levels as function of number of adjacent strings. The signal prediction is shown for comparison. Note that the number of adjacent strings does not affect the signal as those events are predominately single string events.

Up-going ν_{μ} of higher energies and non-vertical ν_{μ} have a small impact on the overall rates. As selection criteria become more stringent, the rates on the strings become more homogeneous as they are dominated by "high quality" low-energy vertical muon neutrino events.

Background behaves very differently under tightening selection criteria, as it becomes more difficult to produce a fake up-going track when the parameter space is taken away and the veto condition tends to have a larger impact.

We determine the ratio between the average number

of events observed on a string with n adjacent strings $\frac{1}{271}$ 236 and those with n + 1. At a low selection criteria cute 237 level, the rate on all strings is completely dominated 238 by background. At high selection level, strings having4 239 less than four adjacent strings are also backgrounds 240 dominated. We use these first three bins to scale the ration 241 distributions from an earlier selection level to the final-242 selection level. Figure 6 shows the predicted numbers 243 of events at final selection criteria level obtained with 244 this method. The background estimatation method from 245 data itself needs to be finalized, including a study of the 246 systematic uncertainites. It provides a cross-check to the 247 predictions from simulation and may ultimately be used 248 as the preferred background estimation method in this 249 analysis. 250



Fig. 6. Average number of events per string at selection criteria L_{46}^{26} as function number of adjacent strings. Note that the right most bind corresponds to the final selection.

289

V. DISCUSSION OF SENSITIVITY FOR 40-STRING AND FULL ICECUBE 290 291 292

The IceCube 40-string dataset is in many ways sugar 253 perior to the 22-string dataset. The trigger system has 254 been significantly improved over the 22-string detector 255 through the addition of a string trigger [10], roughly5 256 doubling the vertical muon neutrino candidate events per 257 string. In order to reject efficiently against down-going 258 muon background, we require that a string be entirely 259 surrounded by adjacent strings (inner strings criterion) 260 as part of the final selection. The 40-string detector has_{22} 261 about a factor of three more inner strings. 303 262 Based on the selection criteria for the IceCube 22-263 string analysis, we have evaluated the sensitivity of th_{θ_6} 264 40-string detector with one year of data using a $\chi^{2_{07}}$ 265 test on the track length distribution. Selection criteria 266 are identical as those presented here, but the number Qf_0 267 expected signal events is scaled according to expectation¹ 268 for the 40-string array. We expect about 400 signal² 269 events, based on the detector livetime, number of inner 270

¹We define adjacent strings as those that are within the nominal interstring-distance (roughly 125 m) of the hexagonal detector pattern.

strings, and a factor two increase in number of events due to the string trigger operational beginning with the 40-string array. Figure 7 shows the expected sensitivity limits obtained in this way as function of the oscillation parameters. Systematic uncertainties are still being investigated and are not included; They are dominated by the atmospheric neutrino flux uncertainty, optical module sensitivity and ice effects.



Fig. 7. Expected constraints on oscillation parameters using the IceCube detector in the 40-string configuration under the assumption of zero background .

VI. CONCLUSIONS

Preliminary results obtained with a subset of the data collected with the IceCube 22-string configuration active during 2007 and 2008, suggest that IceCube may have sensitivity in the energy range where atmospheric oscillations become important. We estimate the sensitivity to oscillation parameters in the IceCube 40-string dataset and find that IceCube can potentially constrain them, pending the determination of the systematic uncertainties associated with the predicted distributions. Understanding of this energy region is also important for dark matter annihilation signals from the center of the Earth and further provides the groundwork for DeepCore, which will probe neutrinos at a similar and even lower energy range [2].

REFERENCES

- A. Achterberg *et al.* [IceCube Collaboration], Astropart. Phys. 26, 155 (2006).
- [2] D. Grant *et al.* [IceCube Collaboration], Fundamental Neutrino Measurements with IceCube DeepCore, this proceedings.
- [3] I. F. M. Albuquerque and G. F. Smoot, Phys. Rev. D 64, 053008 (2001).
- [4] C. Rott [IceCube Collaboration], "Neutrino Oscillation Measurements with IceCube," arXiv:0810.3698 [astro-ph].
- [5] J. R. Hörandel, Astropart. Phys. 19 (2003) 193
- [6] Y. Ashie *et al.* [Super-Kamiokande Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D 71, 112005 (2005).
- [7] D. G. Michael *et al.* [MINOS Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett.
 97, 191801 (2006); P. Adamson *et al.* [MINOS Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 131802 (2008).
- [8] D. Heck *et al.*, Forschungszentrum Karlsruhe Report FZKA-6019, 1998.
- [9] A. Gazizov and M. P. Kowalski, Comput. Phys. Commun. 172, 203 (2005).
- [10] A. Gross et al. [IceCube Collaboration], arXiv:0711.0353

4